

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

	T		T	
APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/730,513	12/05/2000	Santa Wiryaman	09150-010001 6778	
26161	7590 04/27/2005		EXAMINER	
FISH & RICHARDSON PC			TSEGAYE, SABA	
225 FRANKL	IN ST			
BOSTON, MA 02110			ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER	
			2662	
			DATE MAIL ED. 04/27/2006	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

## Advisory Action

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
09/730,513	WIRYAMAN ET AL.		
Examiner	Art Unit		
Saba Tsegaye	2662		

Advisory Action	09/730,513	WIRYAMAN ET AL.	•			
Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Saba Tsegaye	2662				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe		orrespondence add	ross			
•						
THE REPLY FILED 11 April 2005 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE.  ☐ The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on the same day as filing a Notice of Appeal. To avoid abandonment of this application, applicant must timely file one of the following replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit, or other evidence, which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in compliance with 37 CFR 41.31; or (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply must be filed within one of the following time periods:						
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing of b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Adv	risory Action, or (2) the date set forth in th		er is later. In no			
event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection.  Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f).						
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).  NOTICE OF APPEAL						
<ol> <li>The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in come of filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any estimates Since a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must AMENDMENTS</li> </ol>	extension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)	), to avoid dismissal o	of the appeal.			
<ol> <li>The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection,</li> </ol>	, but prior to the date of filing a brie	f, will <u>not</u> be entered t	pecause			
<ul> <li>(a) ☐ They raise new issues that would require further co</li> <li>(b) ☐ They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below)</li> <li>(c) ☒ They are not deemed to place the application in beautiful appeal; and/or</li> </ul>	ow);	•	the issues for			
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a		•				
NOTE: <u>Examiner believes that the calims, given t</u> (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).	heir broad reasonable interpretation	<u>n, read on the reteren</u>	ces applied.			
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.	121. See attached Notice of Non-C	ompliant Amendment	(PTOL-324).			
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):						
Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling						
the non-allowable claim(s).  7. ☑ For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) ☑ will not be entered, or b) ☐ will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended.						
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed:						
Claim(s) objected to:						
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-32</u> . Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:						
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE		•				
<ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, because applicant failed to provide a showing of good are and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).</li> </ol>						
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessa	overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appe	eal and/or appellant fa	ils to provide a			
10.   The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation	on of the status of the claims after o	entry is below or attac	hed.			
REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	ut does NOT place the application i	in condition for allowed	noo Kooguso:			
<ul> <li>11.  The request for reconsideration has been considered by</li> <li>12.  Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)</li> <li>13.  Other:</li> </ul>			ince decause:			
		IASSAN KIZOU	_			
	SUPERVISO	ORY PATENT EXAMIN	IER			
C. Datast and Tandamark Office	<del>TECHN</del>	JFOGA CENIZER SEUC				

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-303 (Rev. 4-05)